

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary**Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)****Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)**

1. Date of Submission: 4/10/2009
2. Agency: Department of Energy
3. Bureau: Environmental And Other Defense Activities
4. Name of this Capital Asset: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems
5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.) 019-10-01-15-01-1052-00
6. What kind of investment will this be in FY 2010? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY 2010, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY 2010 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.) Operations and Maintenance
7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? FY2002
8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:
- This investment has moved well under the \$5M across 3 years threshold for an Exhibit 300, and was officially delisted on 1/16/09. This investment represents 100+ applications supporting ETPP's Human Resources; Finance; Project Controls; and other functions (reported to FISMA as ETPP CBA). These applications are essential to the ETPP remediation contractor's success. Four applications support collaboration. The Oak Ridge Environmental Information System shares environmental data between DOE, Tennessee, EPA, Oak Ridge National Laboratory and BWXT Y-12, and is publicly-accessible. The Project Environmental Measurements System and Tracker support sample processing at commercial labs. Vendors register capabilities once in Supplier to be considered for future selection. This investment supports DOE's Strategic Theme 5, Management Excellence, by automating business management activities; attracting, motivating and retaining a highly skilled, diverse workforce; providing infrastructure services to achieve mission goals and ensuring a safe, secure workplace; and enabling integrated resource management leading to continuous process improvement. This, in turn, supports DOE's Strategic Goal 4.1, Environmental Cleanup, by automating support for ETPP's Accelerated Clean-up activities. The Management Excellence support enables ETPP business functions to operate more efficiently and effectively. Required FTEs have declined from 23 in FY06 to 13 in FY09. ETPP is steady state; no systems are in planning. Only minimal changes are expected to the applications for the current contract's duration. Applicable records schedules are approved (and available on request) for all 100+ applications. This investment is reported in DOE's target architecture and maps to all layers of the FEA. The FEA Primary Mapping (BRM) is the Management of Government Resources Business Area/Information and Technology Management LOB/Information Management Subfunction. See section F for SRM and TRM mappings. TRM mappings align with the latest FEA EITA.
9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? Yes
- a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? 8/21/2008
10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit? Yes
11. Contact information of Program/Project Manager?
- Name Battison, Charlene
- Phone Number 865-576-0633
- Email battisonck@oro.doe.gov
- a. What is the current FAC-P/PM (for civilian agencies) or DAWIA (for defense agencies) certification level of the program/project manager? Waiver Issued
- b. When was the Program/Project Manager Assigned? 10/10/2002
- c. What date did the Program/Project Manager receive the FAC-P/PM certification? If the certification has not been issued, what is the anticipated date for certification? 8/8/2009
12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project? Yes

Exhibit 300: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 13)

- a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)? No
- b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only) No
1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?
2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?
3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?
13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives? Yes
- If "yes," check all that apply:
- Eliminating Improper Payments
 - Expanded E-Government
 - Financial Performance
 - Budget Performance Integration
 - Human Capital
- a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)
- Eliminating Improper Payments: OMB A-123 testing verifies no improper payments
Expanded E-Gov: Web systems enable savings and efficiency; reduce reporting; support collaboration between TN, EPA, DOE, ETPP & public
Financial Performance & Budget/Performance Integration: Integrated COTS improves reliability of financial info. With automated EVM, projects on time/within budget. OMB A-123 ensures financial controls
Human Capital: Web systems with internal reuse automate HR/business functions
14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.) Yes
- a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review? No
- b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?
- c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive? Adequate
15. Is this investment for information technology? Yes
- If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.
- For information technology investments only:
16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) Level 1
17. In addition to the answer in 11(a), what project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) (1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment
18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2008 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23) No
19. Is this a financial management system? No
- a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area? No
1. If "yes," which compliance area:
2. If "no," what does it address?
- b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52
20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2010 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Exhibit 300: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 13)

Hardware 0

Software 8.80

Services 91.20

Other 0

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities? Yes

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name Rothrock, Amy

Phone Number 865-576-1216

Title Privacy Act Officer

E-mail rothrockal@oro.doe.gov

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval? Yes

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas? No

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS)									
(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)									
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2008	CY 2009	BY 2010	BY+1 2011	BY+2 2012	BY+3 2013	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Acquisition:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance:	24.97	1.657	1.493	1.344	1.21	1.232	1.232	0	33.138
TOTAL:	24.97	1.657	1.493	1.344	1.21	1.232	1.232	0	33.138
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.									
Government FTE Costs	0.072	0.015	0.01545	0.015914	0.016391	0.016883	0.017389	0	0.169027
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	7

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? No

a. If "yes," How many and in what year?

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2009 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:
The Summary of Spending has not changed from the FY 2009 President's budget.

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Exhibit 300: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:																* Costs in millions
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order (In accordance with FAR Part 16)	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer FAC-C or DAWIA Certification Level (Level 1, 2, 3, N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
DE-AC05-07OR23027	Time and Material (ETTP is contract line item 002). Please see note in item I.C.2 below for an explanation of ETTP's portion of the \$14M contract funding.	Yes	2/2/2007	4/1/2007	3/31/2012	14	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Albaugh, Jill	865-576-0794 / albaughjy@oro.doe.gov	Level 3	
tbd	Time and Material	No	3/30/2012	4/1/2012	9/30/2013	5	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	tbd	tbd/tbd	N/A	Yes

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

Earned value is not required for the contract supporting this system because it is a steady state system that has been in place since FY 2002. No DME is expected or planned, nor is DME funding requested, for the remaining life of the system (currently scheduled through FY 2013, as indicated in the summary of spending table). Since it is a steady state system, DOE performs quarterly operational analysis as described in section III.B. of this business case.

Note: The contract for 4/1/07 through 3/31/2012 covers Information Technology support.

3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?

Yes

a. Explain why not or how this is being done?

Section 508 compliance for software and hardware is not retroactive, but DOE/ORO EM and its contractor are currently ensuring that all employees have access per Section 508. ETPP & SCI work as an integrated IT team to provide services per Section 508. For any acquisition planned in the future, the vendor will have to prove that their product complies with 508. ETPP has ensured that all requirements for disability assistance have been met.

4. Is there an acquisition plan which reflects the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 and has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?

Yes

a. If "yes," what is the date?

1/4/2005

1. Is it Current?

Yes

b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?

1. If "no," briefly explain why:

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond the next President's Budget.

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2006	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Customer Results	Service Quality	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered	Customer Satisfaction	2005 - Customer satisfaction for resolution of application problem requests. 4.5 out of 5.0	Maintain customer satisfaction ratings of 4.5 out of 5.0 for the applications represented by the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded, we would not be able to resolve system problems and remediation would suffer.	Final FY 06 customer satisfaction rating is 4.79 (106% of Target)
2006	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	80 Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	80 Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	82 Industrial Facility Completions (102% of Target)

Exhibit 300: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 13)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	Energy							
2006	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed at ETPP	3,820 Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed at ETPP	3,820 Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed at ETPP	3,652 Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed (96% of Target)
2006	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Nuclear Facility Completions at ETPP	3 Nuclear Facility Completions at ETPP	3 Nuclear Facility Completions at ETPP	3 Nuclear Facility Completions (100% of Target)
2006	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Radiological Facility Completions at ETPP	11 Radiological Facility Completions at ETPP	11 Radiological Facility Completions at ETPP	11 Radiological Facility Completions (100% of Target)
2006	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Release Site Completions at ETPP	98 Release Site Completions at ETPP	98 Release Site Completions at ETPP	93 Release Site Completions (95% of Target)
2006	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	System Maintenance	Reduce subcontracted maintenance costs from the previous year by 2%	2005 \$1,376K	1348	Final FY 06 cost is \$1,346K (99.9% of Target)
2006	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Privacy	No unauthorized release of Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	2005 - 0 Unauthorized releases of PII	Maintain 0 unauthorized releases of PII for the applications contained in the CBA investment	Final FY 06: 0 unauthorized releases of PII (equal to target)
2006	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Security	No Unauthorized Access or Malicious Code	2005 - Unauthorized Access - 0; Malicious Code - 0	Maintain 0 successful unauthorized accesses and 0 malicious code attacks for the applications contained in the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded,	Final FY 06: 0 Unauthorized Access incidents; 0 Malicious Code attacks; Reported to FISMA (equal to target)

Exhibit 300: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 13)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
							there could be potential for disruptions and compromise of business processes and data.	
2006	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability	99.0% Availability	2005 - 99.0% Availability	Maintain computing resource availability of 99.0% for the resources supporting the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded, we would not be likely to meet the planned performance targets for disposal of Low Level and Mixed Low Level Waste.	Final FY 06 availability is 99.6% (101% of Target)
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Customer Results	Service Quality	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered	Customer Satisfaction	Customer satisfaction for resolution of application problem requests. 4.5 out of 5.0	Maintain customer satisfaction ratings of 4.5 out of 5.0 for the applications represented by the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded, we would not be able to resolve system problems and remediation would suffer.	Final FY 07 customer satisfaction rating is 4.81 (107% of Target)
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	70 Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	70 Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	As of 9/30/07, 43 Industrial Facility Completions (61% of Target)
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed at ETPP	17,967 Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed at ETPP	17,967 Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed at ETPP	As of 9/30/07, 17,967 Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed (100% of Target)
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Nuclear Facility Completions at ETPP	1 Nuclear Facility Completion at ETPP	1 Nuclear Facility Completion at ETPP	As of 9/30/07, 1 Nuclear Facility Completion (100% of Target)
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Radiological Facility Completions at ETPP	7 Radiological Facility Completions at ETPP	7 Radiological Facility Completions at ETPP	As of 9/30/07, 7 Radiological Facility Completions (100% of Target)

Exhibit 300: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 13)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy							
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Release Site Completions at ETPP	17 Release Site Completions at ETPP	17 Release Site Completions at ETPP	As of 9/30/07, 19 Release Site Completions (112% of Target)
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Cubic Meters of TRU Waste Shipped at ETPP	289 Cubic Meters of TRU Waste Shipped at ETPP	289 Cubic Meters of TRU Waste Shipped at ETPP	As of 9/30/07, 0 Cubic Meters of TRU Waste Shipped (0% of Target)
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	System Maintenance	Reduce subcontracted maintenance costs from the previous year by 2%	2006 - \$1,346K	1319	Final FY 07 cost is \$1,317K (99.8% of Target)
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Privacy	No unauthorized release of PII	2005 - 0 Unauthorized releases of PII	Maintain 0 unauthorized releases of PII for the applications contained in the CBA investment	Final FY 07: 0 unauthorized releases of PII (equal to target)
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Security	No Unauthorized Access or Malicious Code	2005 - Unauthorized Access - 0; Malicious Code - 0	Maintain 0 successful unauthorized accesses and 0 malicious code attacks for the applications contained in the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded, there could be potential for disruptions and compromise of business processes and data.	Final FY 07 0 Unauthorized Access incidents; 0 Malicious Code attacks; Reported to FISMA (equal to target)
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability	99.0% Availability	2005 - 99.0% Availability	Maintain computing resource availability of 99.0% for the resources supporting the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded, we would not be	Final FY 07 availability is 99.8% (101% of Target)

Exhibit 300: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 13)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
							likely to meet the planned performance targets for disposal of Low Level and Mixed Low Level Waste.	
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Customer Results	Service Quality	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered	Customer Satisfaction	Customer satisfaction for resolution of application problem requests. 4.5 out of 5.0	Maintain customer satisfaction ratings of 4.5 out of 5.0 for the applications represented by the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded, we would not be able to resolve system problems and remediation would suffer.	Final FY08 customer satisfaction rating is 4.75 (106% of Target)
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	1 Industrial Facility Completion at ETPP	1 Industrial Facility Completion at ETPP	Final FY08 results, 4 Industrial Facility Completions (400% of Target)
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed at ETPP	49,239 Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed at ETPP	49,239 25 Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed at ETPP	Final FY08 results, 9,083 Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed (18% of Target)
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Nuclear Facility Completions at ETPP	0 Nuclear Facility Completion at ETPP	0 Nuclear Facility Completion at ETPP	Final FY08 results, 0 Nuclear Facility Completion (100% of Target)
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Radiological Facility Completions at ETPP	1 Radiological Facility Completion at ETPP	1 Radiological Facility Completion at ETPP	Final FY08 results, 1 Radiological Facility Completions (100% of Target)
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Release Site Completions at ETPP	1 Release Site Completions at ETPP	1 Release Site Completions at ETPP	Final FY08 results, 4 Release Site Completions (400% of Target)
2008	GOAL 4.1	Mission and	Environmental	Environmental	Cubic Meters of	187 Cubic	187 Cubic	Final FY08

Exhibit 300: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 13)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Business Results	Management	Remediation	TRU Waste Shipped at ETPP	Meters of TRU Waste Shipped at ETPP	Meters of TRU Waste Shipped at ETPP	results, 0 Cubic Meters of TRU Waste Shipped (0% of Target)
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	System Maintenance	Reduce subcontracted maintenance costs from the previous year by 2%	2007 - \$1319	\$1292	Final FY08 results, maintenance cost was 1292 - above target for savings
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Privacy	No unauthorized release of PII	FY 2005 - 0 Unauthorized releases of PII	Maintain 0 unauthorized releases of PII for the applications contained in the CBA investment	Final FY08 results, 0 unauthorized releases of PII (equal to target)
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Security	No Unauthorized Access or Malicious Code	FY 2005 - Unauthorized Access - 0; Malicious Code - 0	Maintain 0 successful unauthorized accesses and 0 malicious code attacks for the applications contained in the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded, there could be potential for disruptions and compromise of business processes and data.	Final FY08 results, 0 unauthorized access incidents and 0 malicious code attacks reported to FISMA (equal to target)
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability	99.0% Availability of Computing Resources	FY 2005 - 99.0% Availability	Maintain computing resource availability of 99.0% for the resources supporting the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded, we would not be likely to meet the planned performance targets for disposal of Low Level and Mixed Low Level Waste.	Final FY08 results, availability is 99.7% (101% of Target)
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of	Customer Results	Service Quality	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered	Customer Satisfaction	Customer satisfaction for resolution of problem requests. 4.5 out of 5.0	Maintain customer satisfaction ratings of 4.5 out of 5.0 for the applications represented by the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded,	As of 3/31/09, customer satisfaction rating is 4.77 (106% of Target)

Exhibit 300: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 13)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	Energy						we would not be able to resolve system problems and remediation would suffer.	
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	159 Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	9 Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	As of 3/31/09, there have been 28 Industrial Facility Completions; 311% of target
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Release Site Completions at ETPP	80 Release Site Completions at ETPP	1 Release Site Completions at ETPP	As of 3/31/09, there have been 2 Release Site Completions at ETPP; 200% of target.
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	System Maintenance	Reduce subcontracted maintenance costs from the previous year by 2%	2008 - \$1,266	\$1,241	As of 3/31/09, maintenance cost is \$608 - on target to achieve savings

Exhibit 300: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 13)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy							
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Privacy				
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Security				
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability				
2010	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Customer Results	Service Quality	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered	Customer Satisfaction	Customer satisfaction for resolution of problem requests. 4.5 out of 5.0	Maintain customer satisfaction ratings of 4.5 out of 5.0 for the applications represented by the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded, we would not be able to resolve system problems and remediation would suffer.	TBD
2010	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2010	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Nuclear Facility Completions at ETPP	1 Nuclear Facility Completion at ETPP	TBD	TBD
2010	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				

Exhibit 300: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 13)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy							
2010	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	System Maintenance	Reduce subcontracted maintenance costs from the previous year by 2%	2009 TBD	TBD	TBD
2010	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Privacy				
2010	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Security				
2010	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability				
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Customer Results	Service Quality	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered	Customer Satisfaction	Customer satisfaction for resolution of problem requests. 4.5 out of 5.0	Maintain customer satisfaction ratings of 4.5 out of 5.0 for the applications represented by the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded, we would not be able to resolve system problems and remediation would suffer.	TBD
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	7 Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	7 Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	TBD
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				

Exhibit 300: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 13)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy							
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Radiological Facility Completions at ETPP	6 Radiological Facility Completions at ETPP	6 Radiological Facility Completions at ETPP	TBD
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Release Site Completions at ETPP	30 Release Site Completions at ETPP	30 Release Site Completions at ETPP	TBD
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	System Maintenance	Reduce subcontracted maintenance costs from the previous year by 2%	2010 TBD	TBD	TBD
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Privacy				
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Security				
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability				

Exhibit 300: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 13)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy							
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Customer Results	Service Quality	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered	Customer Satisfaction	Customer satisfaction for resolution of problem requests. 4.5 out of 5.0	Maintain customer satisfaction ratings of 4.5 out of 5.0 for the applications represented by the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded, we would not be able to resolve system problems and remediation would suffer.	TBD
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	34 Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	34 Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	TBD
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Nuclear Facility Completions at ETPP	9 Nuclear Facility Completions at ETPP	9 Nuclear Facility Completions at ETPP	TBD
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Radiological Facility Completions at ETPP	19 Radiological Facility Completions at ETPP	19 Radiological Facility Completions at ETPP	TBD
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Release Site Completions at ETPP	66 Release Site Completions at ETPP	66 Release Site Completions at ETPP	TBD

Exhibit 300: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 13)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	System Maintenance	Reduce subcontracted maintenance costs from the previous year by 2%	2011 - TBD	TBD	TBD
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Privacy				
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Security				
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability				
2013	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Customer Results	Service Quality	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered	Customer Satisfaction	Customer satisfaction for resolution of problem requests. 4.5 out of 5.0	Maintain customer satisfaction ratings of 4.5 out of 5.0 for the applications represented by the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded, we would not be able to resolve system problems and remediation would suffer.	TBD
2013	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	3 Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	3 Industrial Facility Completions at ETPP	TBD

Exhibit 300: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 13)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	across the U.S. Department of Energy							
2013	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Nuclear Facility Completions at ETPP	3 Nuclear Facility Completions at ETPP	3 Nuclear Facility Completions at ETPP	TBD
2013	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Radiological Facility Completions at ETPP	11 Radiological Facility Completions at ETPP	11 Radiological Facility Completions at ETPP	TBD
2013	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Release Site Completions at ETPP	66 Release Site Completions at ETPP	66 Release Site Completions at ETPP	TBD
2013	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	System Maintenance	Reduce subcontracted maintenance costs from the previous year by 2%	2012 - TBD	TBD	TBD
2013	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Privacy				
2013	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Security				
2013	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability				

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	Department of Energy							

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

For existing Mixed-Life Cycle investments where enhancement, development, and/or modernization is planned, include the investment in both the "Systems in Planning" table (Table 3) and the "Operational Systems" table (Table 4). Systems which are already operational, but have enhancement, development, and/or modernization activity, should be included in both Table 3 and Table 4. Table 3 should reflect the planned date for the system changes to be complete and operational, and the planned date for the associated C&A update. Table 4 should reflect the current status of the requirements listed. In this context, information contained within Table 3 should characterize what updates to testing and documentation will occur before implementing the enhancements; and Table 4 should characterize the current state of the materials associated with the existing system.

All systems listed in the two security tables should be identified in the privacy table. The list of systems in the "Name of System" column of the privacy table (Table 8) should match the systems listed in columns titled "Name of System" in the security tables (Tables 3 and 4). For the Privacy table, it is possible that there may not be a one-to-one ratio between the list of systems and the related privacy documents. For example, one PIA could cover multiple systems. If this is the case, a working link to the PIA may be listed in column (d) of the privacy table more than once (for each system covered by the PIA).

The questions asking whether there is a PIA which covers the system and whether a SORN is required for the system are discrete from the narrative fields. The narrative column provides an opportunity for free text explanation why a working link is not provided. For example, a SORN may be required for the system, but the system is not yet operational. In this circumstance, answer "yes" for column (e) and in the narrative in column (f), explain that because the system is not operational the SORN is not yet required to be published.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

1. Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified and integrated into the overall costs of the investment?:
 - a. If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the budget year:
2. Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment?

3. Systems in Planning and Undergoing Enhancement(s), Development, and/or Modernization - Security Table(s):			
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	Planned Operational Date	Date of Planned C&A update (for existing mixed life cycle systems) or Planned Completion Date (for new systems)

4. Operational Systems - Security Table:							
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, Other, N/A)	Date Completed: Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested
Accruals Management (ACCRUALS)							
ACL Data Extraction and Analysis (ACL)							
Bechtel Procurement System (BPS)							
BJC WebWhos Employee Information (WebWhos)							
Capital Work in Progress (CWIP)							
Commitments (COMMITMENTS)							

4. Operational Systems - Security Table:							
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, Other, N/A)	Date Completed: Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested
Confined Space Module (CSM)							
Corporate Citizenship Database (Grants) (CCDB)							
Cost Engineering Estimating System (CEES)							
Cyborg Reporting Solution (TRS)							
Data Warehouse (DW)							
Digital Dialer Fire Alarm System (DDFAS)							
Dosimetry Records System (DRS)							
Electronic Document Management System (EDMS)							
Electronic Invoices (EINV)							
eMWaste (EMWASTE)							
Engineering Design Information System (EDIS)							
Environmental Restoration Waste Generation Forecast (ERWGF)							
eTrack (eTrack)							
ETTP Badge Imaging System (EBIS)							
ETTP Security Access Control System (ESACS)							
Facility Acceptance Testing-Container AnalysisTool (FATCAT)							
Facility Information Management System (FIMS)							
Facility Managers Contact System (FMCS)							
Fee Database (FEE)							
File Review Checklist (FRC)							
FIREHOUSE Staff Activity and Training (FIREHOUSE)							
FitMaster II (FITPLUS)							
Fleet Management (FLEETMGT)							
Flexible Spending Accounts (FLEX)							
Funds Management (FM)							
General Locator Information & Non-Employee System (GLI-NES Web)							
Government Transfers							

4. Operational Systems - Security Table:							
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, Other, N/A)	Date Completed: Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested
(GVT_TRN)							
Hazardous Material Information System (HMIS)							
Historical Data for Legal Organization (LEGALHIST)							
Human Resources Information System (HRIS)							
Human Resources Management System (HRMS)							
Human Resources System/Payroll System (CYBORG)							
I Care - We Care (ICARE)							
Industrial Hygiene Analytical System (IHAS)							
Initial Incident Notification (IIN)							
Instrument Calibration System (ICS)							
Insurance Eligibility (INSELIG)							
Integrated Drug Testing System (IDTS)							
Issues/Corrective Actions Tracking System (ICATS)							
Job Posting / Applicant Tracking (APPTRAC)							
K25/K27 Surveillance Tracking System (K25STS)							
K-screen Transaction Import to Cyborg (KTRANS)							
Large Scale Classification Review (LSCR)							
Legacy Claims (LCLAIMS)							
Lessons Learned System (LESSONS)							
Local Area Network Materials Accounting System (LANMAS)							
Magic Service Desk Suite (MAGIC-IT)							
Maintenance Job Request Database (MJRD)							
MARS Reporting (MARS)							
Material Safety Data System (MSDS)							
Medgate (Medgate)							
Oak Ridge Environmental Information System (OREIS)							

4. Operational Systems - Security Table:							
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, Other, N/A)	Date Completed: Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested
Oracle Financials (BJCFIN)							
Oracle Financials Isix Interfaces (OFII)							
Outgoing Transfer Vouchers Database (OUTVOUCH)							
Payroll Absence and Labor System (PALS)							
PCWorks (PCWorks)							
Pension Actuarial (PENSACTU)							
Pool Liquidation (POOLS)							
Positive Pay and Check Reconciliation (POSPAY)							
PowerTool (POWERTOOL)							
Primavera Project Planner (P3)							
Procedures Tracking Database (PROC)							
Proforma Document Change Management (PDCM)							
Project Environmental Measurements System (PEMS)							
Project Management Cost Processor (PMCP)							
Property Information System (PRISM)							
PROTRAC Automated Fire Data Management System (PROTRAC)							
Radioactive Airborne Contamination Survey (RACS)							
Radiological Work Permit (RWP) System (RWP)							
Records Inventory System (RIS)							
Regulatory Agreement Information Management (Rev) (RAIMSLight)							
Reindustrialization Request for Services (RRFS)							
Release of Information (CICO)							
Risk Assessment Information System (RAIS)							
Root Cause Tree Software (TAPROOT)							
Server Asset							

4. Operational Systems - Security Table:							
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, Other, N/A)	Date Completed: Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested
Management and Official Applications (SAMOA)							
Service Centers (SC)							
Split-Funding Database (SPLITFND)							
Subcontractor Job Posting (SUBPOST)							
Subcontractor Submittal Register (SSR)							
SunTrust On-line Treasury Manager (SunTrust)							
Supplier Questionnaire (Supplier)							
SurfControl (SURF)							
Survey Entry and Reporting System (SERS)							
Suspense (SUSPEN)							
TeamMate (TEAMMATE)							
Tracker (TRACKER)							
Universal Computer Access Mgmt System (UCAMS)							
UT-B External Dosimetry Data Management System (EDDMSLMER)							
UT-Battelle Bioassay Data Management System (BDMSLMER)							
UT-Battelle Exposure History (EHLMER)							
Vision 2010 Reindustrialization Information System (VRIS)							
Waste Acc. Criteria Forecasting Analysis Cap. Syst (WACFACS)							
Westcorp Check Writing System (Westcorp)							
Work For Others (WFO)							

5. Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG?

a. If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process?

6. Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses?

a. If "yes," specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness.

7. How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency for the contractor systems above?

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
Accruals Management (ACCRUALS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
ACL Data Extraction and Analysis (ACL)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Bechtel Procurement System (BPS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
BJC WebWhos Employee Information (WebWhos)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Capital Work in Progress (CWIP)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Commitments (COMMITMENTS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Confined Space Module (CSM)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Corporate Citizenship Database (Grants) (CCDB)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Cost Engineering Estimating System (CEES)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Cyborg Reporting Solution (TRS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
			identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.		
Data Warehouse (DW)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Digital Dialer Fire Alarm System (DDFAS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Dosimetry Records System (DRS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Electronic Document Management System (EDMS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Electronic Invoices (EINV)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
eMWaste (EMWASTE)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Engineering Design Information System (EDIS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Environmental Restoration Waste Generation Forecast (ERWGF)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
eTrack (eTrack)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
ETTP Badge Imaging System (EBIS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
			captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.		
ETPP Security Access Control System (ESACS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Facility Acceptance Testing-Container AnalysisTool (FATCAT)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Facility Information Management System (FIMS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Facility Information Tracking System (FITS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Fee Database (FEE)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
File Review Checklist (FRC)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
FIREHOUSE Staff Activity and Training (FIREHOUSE)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
FitMaster II (FITPLUS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Fleet Management (FLEETMGT)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Flexible Spending Accounts (FLEX)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
			posted.		
Funds Management (FM)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
General Locator Information & Non-Employee System (GLI-NES Web)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Government Transfers (GVT_TRN)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Hazardous Material Information System (HMIS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Historical Data for Legal Organization (LEGALHIST)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Human Resources Information System (HRIS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Human Resources Management System (HRMS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Human Resources System/Payroll System (CYBORG)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
I Care - We Care (ICARE)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Industrial Hygiene Analytical System (IHAS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
Initial Incident Notification (IIN)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Instrument Calibration System (ICS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Insurance Eligibility (INSELIG)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Integrated Drug Testing System (IDTS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Issues/Corrective Actions Tracking System (ICATS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Job Posting / Applicant Tracking (APPTRAC)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
K25/K27 Surveillance Tracking System (K25STS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
K-screen Transaction Import to Cyborg (KTRANS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Large Scale Classification Review (LSCR)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Legacy Claims (LCLAIMS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Lessons Learned System (LESSONS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system;	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
			however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.		system of records.
Local Area Network Materials Accounting System (LANMAS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Magic Service Desk Suite (MAGIC-IT)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Maintenance Job Request Database (MJRD)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
MARS Reporting (MARS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Material Safety Data System (MSDS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Medgate (Medgate)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Oak Ridge Environmental Information System (OREIS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Oracle Financials (BJCFIN)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Oracle Financials Isix Interfaces (OFII)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Outgoing Transfer Vouchers Database (OUTVOUCH)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
			for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.		
Payroll Absence and Labor System (PALS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
PCWorks (PCWorks)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Pension Actuarial (PENSACTU)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Pool Liquidation (POOLS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Positive Pay and Check Reconciliation (POSPAY)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Powertool (POWERTOOL)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Primavera Project Planner (P3)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Procedures Tracking Database (PROC)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Proforma Document Change Management (PDCM)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Project Environmental Measurements System (PEMS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
			required to be publicly posted.		
Project Management Cost Processor (PMCP)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Property Information System (PRISM)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
PROTRAC Automated Fire Data Management System (PROTRAC)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Radioactive Airborne Contamination Survey (RACS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Radiological Work Permit (RWP) System (RWP)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Records Inventory System (RIS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Regulatory Agreement Information Management (Rev) (RAIMSLight)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Reindustrialization Request for Services (RRFS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Release of Information (CICO)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Risk Assessment Information System (RAIS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
Root Cause Tree Software (TAPROOT)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Server Asset Management and Official Applications (SAMOA)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Service Centers (SC)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Split-Funding Database (SPLITFND)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Subcontractor Job Posting (SUBPOST)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Subcontractor Submittal Register (SSR)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
SunTrust On-line Treasury Manager (SunTrust)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Supplier Questionnaire (Supplier)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
SurfControl (SURF)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Survey Entry and Reporting System (SERS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Suspense (SUSPEN)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system;	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
			however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.		system of records.
TeamMate (TEAMMATE)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Tracker (TRACKER)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Universal Computer Access Mgmt System (UCAMS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
UT-B External Dosimetry Data Management System (EDDMSLMER)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
UT-Battelle Bioassay Data Management System (BDMSLMER)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
UT-Battelle Exposure History (EHLMER)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Vision 2010 Reindustrialization Information System (VRIS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Waste Acc. Criteria Forecasting Analysis Cap. Syst (WACFACS)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Westcorp Check Writing System (Westcorp)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Work For Others (WFO)	No	No	A PIA was conducted on this internal system; however, since personally identifiable information	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:

(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
			for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.		

Details for Text Options:

Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.

Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.

Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture? Yes

a. If "no," please explain why?

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? Yes

a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. BJC Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) System

b. If "no," please explain why?

3. Is this investment identified in a completed and approved segment architecture? No

a. If "yes," provide the six digit code corresponding to the agency segment architecture. The segment architecture codes are maintained by the agency Chief Architect. For detailed guidance regarding segment architecture codes, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>. 345-000

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
Computers / Automation Management	Supports maintenance of applications and server information and password distribution and maintenance	Back Office Services	Asset / Materials Management	Computers / Automation Management			External	5
Property / Asset Management	Support the identification, planning and allocation of an organization's physical capital and resources	Back Office Services	Asset / Materials Management	Property / Asset Management			External	5
Data Warehouse	Support the archiving and storage of large volumes of data	Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Warehouse			External	5
Extraction and Transformation	Supports the extraction of data from	Back Office Services	Data Management	Extraction and Transformation			External	5

Exhibit 300: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 13)

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	applications data and transformation to a useful data structure for reporting and query							
Payroll	Involve the administration and determination of employees' compensation	Back Office Services	Financial Management	Payroll			No Reuse	20
Benefit Management	Support the enrollment and participation in an organization's compensation and benefits programs	Back Office Services	Human Resources	Benefit Management			Internal	10
Health and Safety	Support the security and physical well-being of an organization's employees	Back Office Services	Human Resources	Health and Safety			Internal	10
Personnel Administration	Support the matching between an organization's employees and potential opportunities as well as the modification, action and general upkeep of an organization's employee-specific information	Back Office Services	Human Resources	Personnel Administration			Internal	5
Recruiting	Support the identification and hiring of employees for an organization	Back Office Services	Human Resources	Recruiting			Internal	5
Retirement Management	Support the payment of benefits to retirees	Back Office Services	Human Resources	Retirement Management			External	5
Time Reporting	Support the submission, approval and adjustment of an employee's hours	Back Office Services	Human Resources	Time Reporting			Internal	10
Quality Management	Help determine the level that a product or service satisfies certain requirements	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Quality Management			Internal	5
Library / Storage	Support document and data warehousing and archiving	Digital Asset Services	Document Management	Library / Storage			No Reuse	10

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service

component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table: To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.				
FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Data Warehouse	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	
Time Reporting	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
Personnel Administration	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
Benefit Management	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
Data Warehouse	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
Retirement Management	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
Property / Asset Management	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
Quality Management	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
Computers / Automation Management	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
Time Reporting	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Personnel Administration	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Benefit Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Data Warehouse	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Retirement Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Quality Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Computers / Automation Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Personnel Administration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Recruiting	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Quality Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Personnel Administration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Recruiting	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Computers / Automation Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Payroll	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Time Reporting	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Health and Safety	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Benefit Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Retirement Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Property / Asset Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Computers / Automation Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	
Payroll	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Property / Asset Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Recruiting	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	

Exhibit 300: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 13)

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:

To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Quality Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Time Reporting	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Health and Safety	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Personnel Administration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Benefit Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Retirement Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Computers / Automation Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Property / Asset Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Personnel Administration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Time Reporting	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Personnel Administration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Payroll	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Personnel Administration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Quality Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Personnel Administration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Benefit Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Retirement Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Property / Asset Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Payroll	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Library / Storage	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Health and Safety	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Library / Storage	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Time Reporting	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., USA.gov, Pay.Gov, etc)? No

a. If "yes," please describe.

Exhibit 300: Part III: For "Operation and Maintenance" investments ONLY (Steady State)**Section A: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)**

Part III should be completed only for investments identified as "Operation and Maintenance" (Steady State) in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan? Yes
 - a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan? 2/7/2008
 - b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB? No
 - c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:
2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?
 - a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?
 - b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?

Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

1. Was an operational analysis conducted? Yes
 - a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed. 6/2/2008
 - b. If "yes," what were the results?

For purposes of analysis and application of management control thresholds, the investment lifecycle window is FY 2007 - FY 2011. Lifecycle costs are \$7.544 million.

Since this investment represents over 100 individual applications, the operational analysis is performed at 2 levels. Cost and schedule at the application level are reviewed in weekly meetings with the applications support staff, the Applications Manager, and the Chief Information Officer. Cost and performance at the investment level are reviewed during monthly estimate at completion (EAC) evaluations. Earned Value Management is integrated into the evaluation process. In addition, meetings are held with ETPP functions (e.g., Human Resources, Finance, Payroll, Environment Safety & Health, Project Controls, Waste Management) on an as-needed basis to resolve application issues.

FY08 through 5/31/08
 FY08 Work Scheduled = \$1.657M
 Work Performed through 5/31/08 = \$1.098M
 Percent Complete = 67%
 Actual Cost of Work Performed = \$1.098M

From FY 1997 through 2004 numerous upgrades and enhancements were made to these applications to ensure that the functions were adequately supported and that the applications achieved maximum return on investment. Through these efforts, manual processing by functional staff was significantly reduced.

During FY 2005, ETPP began the implementation of a new information technology (IT) service model in which the number of applications support staff decreased significantly, and these individuals moved on site at ETPP. This enabled ETPP to meet FY 2005 cost reduction targets. Cost reductions continued in FY 2006 and 2007 as the majority of applications were in stay-alive mode. Minimal changes are expected to these applications for the duration of the current contract.

- c. If "no," please explain why it was not conducted and if there are any plans to conduct operational analysis in the future:

2. Complete the following table to compare actual cost performance against the planned cost performance baseline. Milestones reported may include specific individual scheduled preventative and predictable corrective maintenance activities, or may be the total of planned annual operation and maintenance efforts).

- a. What costs are included in the reported Cost/Schedule Performance information (Government Only/Contractor Only/Both)? Contractor and Government

Exhibit 300: ETPP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 13)

2.b Comparison of Plan vs. Actual Performance Table

Milestone Number	Description of Milestone	Planned		Actual		Variance	
		Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost(\$M)	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost(\$M)	Schedule (# days)	Cost(\$M)
1	FY02 Maintenance and Production Operations	9/30/2002	\$2.190000	9/30/2002	\$2.147000	0	\$0.043000
2	FY03 Maintenance and Production Operations	9/30/2003	\$5.800000	9/30/2003	\$5.683000	0	\$0.117000
3	FY04 Maintenance and Development	9/30/2004	\$8.200000	9/30/2004	\$7.958000	0	\$0.242000
4	FY05 Maintenance and Production Operations	9/30/2005	\$3.430000	9/30/2005	\$3.219000	0	\$0.211000
5	FY06 Maintenance and Development	9/30/2006	\$3.500000	9/30/2006	\$3.510000	0	-\$0.010000
6	FY07 Maintenance and Development	9/30/2007	\$1.850000	9/30/2007	\$1.771000	0	\$0.079000
7	FY08 Maintenance and Development	9/30/2008	\$1.672000	9/30/2008	\$1.655000	0	\$0.017000
8	FY09 Maintenance and Development	9/30/2009	\$1.508000	3/31/2009	\$0.749000	183	\$0.759000
9	FY10 Maintenance and Development	9/30/2010	\$1.360000	9/30/2010		0	
10	FY11 Maintenance and Development	9/30/2011	\$1.226000	9/30/2011		0	
11	FY12 Maintenance and Development	9/30/2012	\$1.249000	9/30/2012		0	
12	FY13 Maintenance and Development	9/30/2013	\$1.249000	9/30/2013		0	
Project Totals		9/30/2013	\$33.234000	9/30/2013	\$26.692000	0	\$6.542000